



Authorized Software Value Plus Business Analytics

Business Analytics Award Winner 2012

Operational Analytics for Predictive & Proactive Maintenance

www.sv-europe.com

Agenda

- Introduction to operational & predictive analytics
- Worked examples of operational analytics
 - Practical examples
- Break
- Demonstration of capabilities
 - Model development & text mining
- Best practices & maximising success
 - Analytical methodology, resources & deployment
- Summary & conclusion
- Lunch





Predictive Analytics for Smarter Business



- Premium, accredited partner to IBM specialising in the SPSS Advanced Analytics suite.
- Team each has 15 to 20 years of experience working in the predictive analytic space specifically as senior members of the heritage SPSS team



What do we mean by 'Predictive Analytics'?



Predictive analytics encompasses a variety of techniques from statistics and data mining that analyze current and historical data to make predictions about future events

<u> </u>	

Analysis of structured and unstructured information with mining, predictive modelling, and 'what-if?' scenario analysis.



What is operational analytics for preventative maintenance?

Understanding the patterns in operational data to determine the areas of greatest risk and directing resources before risk becomes reality.





What do we mean by 'predictive analytics'?

- It's different from business intelligence or MI reporting
- Actually, it's not *always* about prediction
- However, predictive analytics *does* creates important new data
- These data take the form of estimates, probabilities, forecasts, recommendations, propensity scores, classifications or likelihood values
- Which in turn can be incorporated into key operational and/or insight systems









Predictive operational analytics: industry sectors





Predictive operational analytics: common applications





How can operational analytics help?

Unearthing characteristics that lead to an increased frequency of failures?

Finding patterns in maintenance operations that could point to opportunities for improvements?

Identifying factors that increase ownership cost and downtime over the life of a system / asset?

Predicting impact or consequence scores to enhance Alarms Management so that key alarm events are prioritized Identifying assets at risk of failure even when they have no previous failure history



Mining *free text* from thousands of logs that describe the maintenance performed on systems to accurately categorize maintenance reports and identify areas of risk

Types of predictive modelling...



Identify groups within a population displaying homogeneity (based on a wide array of data)

Identify repeatable patterns of behaviour or sequence...



Types of predictive modelling...

- Classification / propensity
 - How likely is this asset (vehicle / pump / property / meter) to fail / report and issue?
- Clustering
 - How can I divide plant / asset portfolio into meaningful and discernible groups as a framework for proactive maintenance / inspection regimes?
- Association & sequence
 - What is the sequence & cadence of recorded events that can be identified as the antecedents of an asset failure in a specific location?
- Time series
 - What is production line downtime going to be next month / quarter / year?



Other SPSS predictive maintenance & quality customers











YorkshireWater







Operational analytics: worked examples

Jarlath Quinn

www.sv-europe.com



- Weather Conditions
- Ambient Temperature

Environmental



Interaction

- Maintenance History
- · Notes from inspection
- Customer Feedback

Machine

Material

٠

•



Assets



Behavioural

Telemetry

Age

- Alarms
- Events (Failure ,Faults)

Utilise historical data from multiple sources...













- Weather Conditions ٠
- Ambient Temperature •

Environmental



Interaction

- Maintenance History
- Notes from inspection •
- Customer Feedback



Assets

- Machine ٠
- Material •
- Age



Behavioural

- Telemetry ٠
- Alarms
- Events (Failure , Faults) •

...to build accurate, testable predictive models...

19% Likelihood new filter required



22% chance of Failure

Model

0.43 probability of repeat error

Estimated Temperature = 26.2



- Weather Conditions
- Ambient Temperature

Environmental



Interaction

- Maintenance History
- Notes from inspection
- Customer Feedback



Assets



Behavioural

٠

•

• Age

Telemetry

Machine

Material

- Alarms
- Events (Failure ,Faults)

...to generate predictions and risk scores



...that can be deployed into operational systems and other insight/reporting platforms







...to make smarter decisions

	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G
1	Turbine ID	Previous Risk Score	Current Risk Score	Previous Risk Score_pct_rank	Current Risk Score_pct_rank	Risk_rank_change	Current Predicted Asset Failure
231	230	54	54	27	31	-4	T
232	231	15	9	67	75	-8	F
233	232	24	20	34	44	-10	F 🗧
234	233	15	53	67	32	35	Т
235	234	15	20	67	44	23	F
236	235	15	9	67	75	-8	F
237	236	15	2	67	98	-31	F
238	237	15	9	67	75	-8	F
239	238	62	62	23	24	-1	Т
240	239	62	62	23	24	-1	Т
241	240	17	17	38	51	-13	F
242	241	15	20	67	44	23	F
243	242	62	62	23	24	-1	Т
244	243	15	21	67	39	28	F
245	244	15	15	67	55	12	F
246	245	83	72	13	18	-5	Т
247	246	15	8	67	90	-23	F
248	247	14	14	96	57	39	F
249	248	90	90	6	7	-1	Т
250	249	90	90	6	7	-1	Т
251	250	90	90	6	7	-1	T
252	251	90	90	6	7	-1	Т
	▶ ► Sheet1	Sheet2					
Sele	t destination and p	ress ENTER or choose Paste					
-		ISION				A SELECTINIE	KNAHONAL COMPANY

Consolidate the data that seems most relevant to the application



Asset Register

Meteorological/Location Data



Maintenance History



Load/Monitoring Data



Field	Sample Graph	Measurement	Min	Max	Mean	Std. Dev	Skewness	Unique	∨alid
A Month recorded		🕹 Nominal						12	3660
🛞 Section		🛷 Continuous	2.000	4866.000	2433.925	1420.193	-0.002		3660
🔺 Zone		🕹 Nominal						4	3660
Previous Over current Trips		🖋 Continuous	0.000	13.000	0.725	1.484	3.131		3660
Ubrication Type		🖋 Continuous	0.000	21.000	0.238	1.120	8.734		3660
🛞 New Seal		🖋 Continuous	0.000	15.000	0.841	1.358	2.658		3660
Planned shutdowns	Bar and a state of the state of	🖋 Continuous	0.000	30.000	2.069	2.910	2.476		3660
🛞 Average RPM		🖋 Continuous	0.000	20195.000	3815.406	2397.339	0.815		3660
🛞 Asset Age Score		🖋 Continuous	0.000	1458.000	170.622	224.106	2.138		3660
Average Vibration Score		🖋 Continuous	0.000	1613.000	359.111	293.049	1.019		3660
Torque_rating		🖋 Continuous	0.000	73.000	3.451	5.020	3.046		3660
🛞 Bearing Weight Score		🖋 Continuous	0.000	653.000	53.145	81.452	3.097		3660
Insulation Rating		🖋 Continuous	0.000	327.000	65.013	46.979	0.909		3660
Fatigue Score	l.a.mon	🖋 Continuous	0.000	35.114	4.797	7.747	1.657		3660
🔺 Asset Failure		🎖 Flag						2	3660
Temperature_Class_One_Week_Before		🕹 Nominal						4	3660
Average Pressure Score	.	🖋 Continuous	5.615	42.692	17.248	6.957	0.686		3660
¹ Indicates a multimode result ² Indicates a sampled	result								

Visualise the data and identify potential predictive indicators

- Corrosion/fatigue score
- Higher the degree of corrosion
- Higher the risk of asset failure



- Average gas pressure score
- Lower the sustained pressure score
- Higher the risk of failure/discharge





Don't ignore unstructured data

Text mining produces structured data from unstructured:

example from Water Industry

 "Tried to clear but they reckon its on the main sewer line - causing backup inside toilet - neighbour across the back has been having similar problems and we found a blockage on the main - can we check?"

Text mining gives

- Main sewer
- Backup
- Blockage



 "Possible discharge of cooking fat from lateral into main sewer as there is a block outside the takeaway."

Text mining gives

- Fat problem
- Lateral sewer
- Property type



Make sure the model makes sense





Example of an actual reusable predictive model



SMART

Europe

/ISION

Model evaluation: what does 'success' look like?





Model classification

- 84% accuracy in predicting asset failure
- Chart shows strong correlation between estimated risk of failure
- and actual failures

What does 'deployment' look like?

 Assets in red have a high risk profile but no previous issues

	Ranking	Asset informatio	Prioritis	ation of :	siltation	÷	listory of	incidents		Recency			
	Ranking	Asset id	Tape of asset	Likelihood of siltation	Consequence	Total Risk - Siltation	Pollution Count	Internal Count	External Count Back Up Count	Last reported siltation issue	Estimated siltation frequency (months)	Number of historic reported issues	
	1	43723952	Main	0.64	107.1	68.8	0	21	2	0 17/12/2007	4	8	
	2	101405798	Main	0.99	56.3	55.7	0	11	0	0 13/12/2006	8	2	
	3	78583469	Main	0.97	55.1	53.5	0	11	0	0 06/02/2007	' 8	3	
	4	43804534	Main	0.80	55.1	44.0	0	11	0	0 22/04/2006	8	3	
	5	APKUHQ8H5CL44HU083_lat	Public lateral	0.65	66.0	43.1	2	11	1	0 22/01/2005	i	1	
	6	43724270	Main	0.74	58.1	42.9	0	11	3	0		0	
	7	AP203W8M5Y153GCGNM_lat	Public lateral	0.78	55.0	42.7	7	9	1	0 12/09/2007	32	2	
	8	APPMB88Q5MP44WU02H_lat	Public lateral	0.45	94.0	42.5	0	18	0	0 09/08/2007	' 1	7	
	9	APE4AA8W5Y442TW075_lat	Public lateral	0.77	54.0	41.7	0	10	0	0 20/08/2006	12	3	
_	10	APF4E98W5R044KTGLR_lat	Public lateral	0.74	55.0	40.9	1	10	1	0		0	
_	11	43724570	Main	0.96	41.1	39.6	0	8	1			0	
_	12	APMIH 18H53E43GUUUU_lat	Public lateral	0.79	50.0	39.3	0	9	1	0 001001000		0	
-	13		Public lateral Dublic lateral	0.41	94.0	38.4	0	18		0 23/02/2007	4	5	
	14	AP MECSPEL 04/CHQVH desig	Private drain	0.77	49.0	37.8	0	3	0	0 02/11/2006	UI IU	3	
_	10	43723196	Main	0.00	50.1	35.4	0	10	0	0		0	
	17	APNV9L8M5TL427T0YM_lat	Public lateral	0.39	90.0	35.3	0	17	1	0 08/05/2007	1	7	
	18	AP7VG38B6B14A6W0NG lat	Public lateral	0.79	44.0	34.6	0	8	0	0	· ·	0	
	19	44051115	Main	0.76	45.1	34.4	0	9	0	0 05/11/2007	10	4	
	20	APKQEV8R56K437TGQH_lat	Public lateral	0.79	42.9	33.7	1	7	0	0 06/08/2007	11	4	



Model scores open new doors of insight

- Risk becomes a new
 dynamic metric
- Risk can be viewed in terms of –
 - projected spend
 - asset value
 - failure consequence
 - maintenance cost



Projected maintenance spend versus model ranking (Top 5000)





Let's See A Demonstration

www.sv-europe.com

Cell site maintenance







Best Practice

www.sv-europe.com

What are the common ingredients of successful applications?

Using multiple data sources

- Fixed attributes
 - Asset data
 - Model type/class
 - Specification
 - Weight
 - Size
 - Range





Usage



- Maintenance history
- Usage history
- Part replacements
- Maintenance reports (free text)
- Operating environment







Environmental/ telematics

What are the common ingredients of successful applications?

Utilising a powerful, proven methodology

CRISP-DM: Cross Industry Standard
 Process for Data Mining







The CRISP-DM process





Example business objectives

- A water company wants to reduce pollution
- A Telco company wants to improve coverage
- An on-line gaming company want to identify fraudulent bets
- A charity wants to increase donor lifetime value
- A multi-channel subscription-based magazine want to improve renewal rate
- Local government planners want to know how likely a ward is to sustain next year
- A shipping company wants to identify containers that are likely to contain smuggled items
- A coffee retailer wants to understand what effect price changes will have on demand
- A hospital wants to know how many A&E staff to deploy on each shift
- An on-line retailer wants to increase their repurchase rates



Example business objectives – more specifically

- 1. How do I reduce downtime?
- 2. How do I improve my SLA performance?
- 3. How do I reduce time to repair?
- 4. What is the effect of preventative maintenance?
- 5. What is the correlation between the fault diagnostic and its closure/outcome
- 6. What drives delays in fixing?
- 7. Which sites require the highest maintenance and why?
- 8. What equipment requires the highest maintenance (repeat corrective tickets) and why?
- 9. What causes additional/multiple work orders/repair tasks within a ticket?
- 10. Which replacement parts do I need to have in stock? And where?



Potential success criteria

- Reduce downtime by 10%
- Improve SLA performance to 99% for severity 1s
- Reduce average repair time by 1 hour
- Reduce overall repair costs by 20%

OR

• Develop a model that can accurately predict 4 time out of 5 when an asset will fail in the next 3 months



The CRISP-DM process





2. Data understanding – high level

- Identify the **data sources** and **fields** which may have a bearing on the business/analytical objectives
- Review data schemas and any other data documentation
- What looks relevant?
- What are the formats?
 - Databases, text files, excel, etc.
- What are the fieldnames?
 - Metadata
- Crucially ... what is the likely **target** field that maps to the business objective e.g.
 - Repair time

Europe

- Machinery failing
- Assigning the right engineer
- Identifying the right fix
- Identifying the right parts

2. Data understanding – low level

- **Explore** the data
- Typically looking for **patterns** between fields
- Using uni- and bi-variate analyses
 - Examine fields one-by-one or in pairs
 - Often using visualisation tools
- Test hypotheses
 - E.g. High Pressure is the root cause of failure
 - Travel time is the most significant delay in the repair cycle
- Validate data
 - Identifies any issues involving anomalies
- Develops understanding and informs modelling



The CRISP-DM process





3. Data preparation

- Data understanding effectively designs this step
- Together with data understanding this can be more time consuming than expected
 - Sometimes 80% of a project
 - Especially for newer projects
- Typically integrates data from different sources
 - Often operational sources that haven't been analysed in this way before
- Aggregates data
- Create composite measures
 - E.G. Band variables
 - Apply formulae e.G. Compute annualised figures and other ratios



Comparable to ETL (extract transform load)

The CRISP-DM process



4.Modelling

- Apply a variety of modelling techniques
- Candidate list identified during understanding phase
 - Driven by data types (see later)
 - Constrained by available tools
- 2 broad styles:
 - a) Hypothesis led. Add the fields/predictors that we believe are driving the outcome
 - **b) Data led**. Add more fields at the beginning and incrementally reduce (and/or let the algorithms do that)
- The best performing modelling algorithm is a function of the specific data/problem



5.Evaluation

- Essential that the models are tested against unseen data
- Typically the data is partitioned into 2 (or 3) sets at random e.g. 70%:30%
 - 1. Training (modelling) set
 - 2. Test (holdout) set
 - 3. Evaluation set
- Evaluate against the success criteria agreed in the understanding phase
- Often it is about how well the model performs against a given value criteria e.g. revenue
 - Defined in data understanding phase



The CRISP-DM process



6.Deployment (1)

- Could be as simple as a list of names and predictions/scores
 - E.g. a prioritised fix list
- Could be as complex as a model encapsulated as a computer program and embedded in an operational system to predict in real time and automate decisions
 - E.g. a model embedded in a system which sends alerts and triggers
- Could be embedded in a **What-if?** simulator
- Important to distinguish between a model in the modelling and deployment phases
- Typically...
 - In the modelling phase many different models and modelling options are built and evaluated
 - In the deployment phase the winning model(s) are fixed



• E.g. we deploy a decision tree with a fixed shape

6.Deployment (2) (monitoring)

- If we did our job properly then the deployed model should correspond to what we saw in evaluation
 - Other factors may intervene
- Ongoing evaluation ("monitoring") still needs to happen if models are to be used over time
 - Some models have a longer shelf life than others
- More recently there has been some development of models which adapt/correct themselves to changing circumstances
 - Some level of re-modelling to improve accuracy
 - "Self adapting"
 - More commonly this is achieved through the concept of champion/challenger modelling or model refresh approaches



The CRISP-DM process



SMART

Europe

People and roles





Executing a predictive project - summary

- A predictive analytics project can be more like a research & development project
 - Can we build a successful model?
 - Has anyone done this before?
 - What is the **risk** that we cannot achieve the objectives?
- Hence projects can fail
- It isn't just about the analyst
 - Larger projects usually need a larger (multi-disciplined) team
- IBM/SPSS Modeler visually maps functions and data flows to the CRISP process



What are the common ingredients of successful applications?

Incorporating results in both operational and reporting platforms

u r o

P



What are the common ingredients of successful applications?

Integrating the resultant insight with existing systems

NETEZZA

maximo



						feet	15 -			-		_	Y	-	-			Nov
													T			Defects and	id Ave Pr	rod Hou
MAXIM	O Portal -												_ 🗆 🔼		D. P.			
Connectio	n Options Download Da	ta View H	ielp												3430			
. 1) 🦪 🖻 🛐 🚰 📕 🛛	<u>∎ } * ×</u>		9		_	_		_	_	_			NO STORES	STO BOR			
Upload Mar	ster Data to MAXIMO Downk	ad Master Data	to AWB A	ayocs PM Up	load		1	1		1	1	1			Luster and			
Location	Work order cou	Int V KTop L	on: .ocation>	v (🔺			D	Description		Location	Class	Class Description	Date			DR.	0	
	-			ت ا			11430	Centrifugal Pump	100GPM/60	BR430	PUMPS	Pump Failures	07/04/1995		Contraction of the	SELER	80	
		Loc	cation				13140	Conveyor Syste	m- Pkg. Dept.	BPM3100	PKG	Packaging Line Failu	r 27/08/1998	ALL St OF	E B BEIN	69999	- A."	0
	400-1						11200	HVAC System- 5	50 Ton Cool C	. BR200			02/06/1998	211 1 - 21	- 1 - 1	080080	50 Pt	-
	360						11460	Burner, Gas Fire	d- For Boller	BR460	BURNERS	Gas Fired Burner Fa	il 31/05/1994		State of the	88 0 0	0 100	1123
	320-						13110	Peeder System		BPM3100	PKG	Packaging Line Failu	21/08/1998			0		
ders	280-						13180	Lubrication Svet	am	BDM3100	PKG	Packaging Line Failu	27/08/1998	2 3 A 4	2 de State	10	15	2
ŏ	240-						13120	Bottom Sealing S	vstem	BPM3100	PKG	Packaging Line Failu	r. 27/08/1998	S. S. A. M.	N. K.	Defects		
Wor	200-					=	13170	Top Sealer Syste	em	BPM3100	PKG	Packaging Line Failu	r 27/08/1998		DE HART PA	Peresta		
Je .	160						11450	Centrifugal Pump	100GPM/60	BR450	PUMPS	Pump Failures	26/05/1996				_	_
mbe	120-						23972	Motor- 10hp/175	Orpm/TEFC/2	BR431			31/05/1994			2910		
PZ	80-						B12600	Pneumatic Seale	r	FINALPKG	PKG	Packaging Line Failu	r 13/05/2000	A COM	A MARINA STR	Units	Defects	20
	40-						12210	Brake System- C	verhead Cra	SHIPPING			31/05/1994	ALTE DOLLAR	11 S 3	~ ~	74.8	
							11400	Boiler- 50,000 Lt	/Hr/ Gas Fire	. BR400	BOILERS	Boiler Failures	31/05/1994		R. Buck	20,201	400	
	BASI MAR	HWS		SWS TE 1	TEST	TEST	12700	Conveyor Syste	m #2	SHIPPING	CONVEYOR	CONVEYOR LINE FA	AL 16/09/1998	Contraction of the	Call a read	15,114	145	
	NHW KING MAY	TON	a ver stru	10C 12 3	1022	105	12710	30 Hp Drive Moto	or- Conveyor	. SHIPPING	CONVEYOR	CONVEYOR LINE FA	AL 16/09/1998	The second second	S to Set of	9,030	86	
•	A P	*		<u>^</u>			12610	30 Hp Drive Moto	or- Conveyor	. SHIPPING	CONVEYOR	CONVEYOR LINE FA	AL 16/09/1998	12 4 1 1 2 X	10 3 8 3	50,405	486	
•						/*	<	11					>			CONTRACTOR .	022006	
Asset:		Mai	intenance typ	e: Problem	code:		_	_	-					2 11 10	Alexander	1		
11430		Al		✓ <all p="" prob<=""></all>	lem Codes>	~	Failure	PM	Unknov	m				281055	- Ken Politica			
D	Description	Work Order Class	Order Type	Category	Asset	Location	Actual Cost	Estimated Cost	Asset Class	Problem Code	Failure Date	Actual Start Date	Actual Actual Finish Date			1		
951	Condensate Return Pump	WORKORDER	EM	Failure	✓ 11430	BR430	55.5	165	PUMPS	STOPPED	13/07/1999	13/07/1999	17/07/1999					
1298	Condensate Return Pump	WORKORDER	EM	Failure	✓ 11430	BR430	131.07	200	PUMPS	STOPPED	24/03/2001	25/03/2001	27/03/2001					
721	Condensate Return Pump	WORKORDER	CP	Exclude	✓ 11430	BR430	181.5	0	PUMPS	LEAK	31/07/1996	31/07/1996	01/08/1996					
1351	Check Low Flow on Con	WORKORDER	EM	Failure	✓ 11430	BR430	221.07	200	PUMPS	LOWVOL	27/05/2001	28/05/2001	30/05/2001					
1638	Check Leaking Condensa	WORKORDER	EM	Failure	✓ 11430	BR430	245.25	240	PUMPS	LEAK	12/04/2001	12/04/2001	15/04/2001					
1036	Inspect and Repair Relay	WORKORDER		Exclude	11430	BR430	0	18.5	PUMPS		01/01/0001	01/01/0001	31/03/1999					
1037	Contrologal Pump Service	WORKORDER	DM	Replacement	✓ 11430	BR430	0	315.9	PUMPS		01/01/0001	01/01/0001	01/03/1999					
V67	Densis Food Water Dump	WORKORDER	E14	Failure	× 11430	BR450	85.5	50	PUMPS	LOWVOL	25/11/2000	25/11/2000	26/11/2000					
1226		and the second sec	F 10			and the second sec			and the second sec	LUCITY VL								
1226	Repair Centrifugal Pump	WORKORDER	EM	Failure	✓ 11430	BR450	95.6	50	PUMPS	LOWPERS	05/01/2001	05/01/2001	05/01/2001					

Product Line . Alpha . Charger Nova

.

% Defective 0.97% 0.96% 0.95% 0.96%

A SELECT INTERNATIONAL COMPANY

30 -





Summary, next steps & close

www.sv-europe.com

Common misunderstandings

- Revolutionary results overnight!
- You'll need a Ph.D.
 - In fact , data–literate, business focussed people learn how to do this all the time.
- The more *accurate* the model the better
- You need a clean, single-customer-view warehouse



Advice to get started

- **Build internal credibility:** *Think* about where you would get *biggest impact* for the *least effort*.
 - How can we "prove it" quickly and efficiently?
- Consider adopting a proven methodology e.g. CRISP-DM
- Don't get hung up on modelling techniques focus on *Business Understanding* and *Deployment*
- Consider the full data landscape
- Consider the sorts of roles involved /impacted
- Consider integration with other business insight systems (e.g. MI/BI)
- How will you know its worked? Focus on measuring the benefit e.g. response rate lift, increased cross-sell, revenue/profit impact



Options to get started...





Working with Smart Vision Europe Ltd

- As a premier partner we sell the IBM SPSS suite of software to you directly
 - We're agile, responsive and generally easier to deal with
- As experts in SPSS / analytics / predictive analytics we will
 - Deliver classroom training courses
 - Offer side by side training support
 - Offer "skills transfer" consulting
 - Run booster and refresher sessions to get more from your SPSS licences
 - Give no strings attached advice
- We are a support providing partner so if you already have SPSS you can source your technical support directly from us (identical costs to IBM)
 - We offer telephone support with real people as well as web tickets / email queries
 - We offer "how to" support to help you get moving on your project quickly





Contact us:

+44 (0)207 786 3568 info@sv-europe.com Twitter: @sveurope Follow us on LinkedIN Sign up for our Newsletter

Thank you

www.sv-europe.com